# Topological Algorithms-II

Mustafa Hajij







On a cutting graph G we locate the *Branching vertices* 



A *segment* in a cutting graph G is a set of consecutive edges in G, which connect two branching vertices.



A *segment* in a cutting graph G is a set of consecutive edges in G, which connect two branching vertices.



Namely the branching vertices separate the cut graph into a collection of segments.

We give each segment an arbitrary orientation and denote the oriented segments by S={s<sub>1</sub>,...,sn}



#### Computing the fundamental domain

A closed subset D of the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  of M is called a fundamental domain of  $\tilde{M}$  if  $\tilde{M}$  is the union of conjugates of D



# The universal cover and the fundamental domain



## Computing the fundamental domain

Input : A mesh M Output : A fundamental domain D of M

- Compute a cut graph G of M
- Slice M along G

# The universal cover and the fundamental domain







S1	S2
S3	-S3
-S1	-S2







S1	S2
S3	-S3
-S1	-S2











Input : A mesh  $\Sigma$ . Output: A finite portion of the universal cover  $\Sigma^-$ .

Input : A mesh  $\Sigma$ .

Output: A finite portion of the universal cover  $\Sigma^{-}$ .

1 Compute a cut graph G of  $\Sigma$ .

We call a vertex on G with valence greater than 2 a *Branching vertex*. The *Branching vertices* divide G to segments, assign an orientation to each segment, labeled as {s1, s2, · · · , sn}.

Input : A mesh  $\Sigma$ .

Output: A finite portion of the universal cover  $\Sigma^{-}$ .

1 Compute a cut graph G of  $\Sigma$ .

We call a vertex on G with valence greater than 2 a *Branching vertex*. The *Branching vertices* divide G to segments, assign an orientation to each segment, labeled as {s1, s2, · · · , sn}.

2 Slice  $\Sigma$  along G to get a fundamental domain D, the boundary is composed of ±sk 's.

Input : A mesh  $\Sigma$ .

Output: A finite portion of the universal cover  $\Sigma^{-}$ .

1 Compute a cut graph G of  $\Sigma$ .

We call a vertex on G with valence greater than 2 a *Branching vertex*. The *Branching vertices* divide G to segments, assign an orientation to each segment, labeled as {s1, s2, · · · , sn}.

2 Slice  $\Sigma$  along G to get a fundamental domain D, the boundary is composed of ±sk 's.

3 Initialize  $\Sigma^- \leftarrow D$ , book keep  $\partial \Sigma^-$  using ±sk 's.

Input : A mesh  $\Sigma$ .

Output: A finite portion of the universal cover  $\Sigma^{-}$ .

1 Compute a cut graph G of  $\Sigma$ .

We call a vertex on G with valence greater than 2 a *Branching vertex*. The *Branching vertices* divide G to segments, assign an orientation to each segment, labeled as {s1, s2, · · · , sn}.

2 Slice Σ along G to get a fundamental domain D, the boundary is composed of ±sk 's.

3 Initialize  $\Sigma^- \leftarrow D$ , book keep  $\partial \Sigma^-$  using ±sk 's.

4 Glue a copy of D to current  $\Sigma^-$  along only one segment sk  $\in \partial \Sigma^-$ ,  $-sk \in \partial D$ ,  $\Sigma^- \leftarrow \Sigma^- \cup_{sk} D$ .

Input : A mesh  $\Sigma$ .

Output: A finite portion of the universal cover  $\Sigma^{-}$ .

1 Compute a cut graph G of  $\Sigma$ .

We call a vertex on G with valence greater than 2 a *Branching vertex*. The *Branching vertices* divide G to segments, assign an orientation to each segment, labeled as {s1, s2, · · · , sn}.

2 Slice  $\Sigma$  along G to get a fundamental domain D, the boundary is composed of ±sk 's.

3 Initialize  $\Sigma^- \leftarrow D$ , book keep  $\partial \Sigma^-$  using ±sk 's.

4 Glue a copy of D to current  $\Sigma^-$  along only one segment sk  $\in \partial \Sigma^-$ ,  $-sk \in \partial D$ ,  $\Sigma^- \leftarrow \Sigma^- \cup_{sk} D$ .

5 Update  $\partial \Sigma^-$ , if ±si are adjacent in  $\partial \Sigma^-$ , glue the boundary of  $\Sigma^-$  along si. Repeat this step until no adjacent ±si in the boundary.

Input : A mesh  $\Sigma$ .

Output: A finite portion of the universal cover  $\Sigma^{-}$ .

1 Compute a cut graph G of  $\Sigma$ .

We call a vertex on G with valence greater than 2 a *Branching vertex*. The *Branching vertices* divide G to segments, assign an orientation to each segment, labeled as {s1, s2, · · · , sn}.

2 Slice Σ along G to get a fundamental domain D, the boundary is composed of ±sk 's.

3 Initialize  $\Sigma^- \leftarrow D$ , book keep  $\partial \Sigma^-$  using ±sk 's.

4 Glue a copy of D to current  $\Sigma^-$  along only one segment sk  $\in \partial \Sigma^-$ ,  $-sk \in \partial D$ ,  $\Sigma^- \leftarrow \Sigma^- \cup_{sk} D$ .

5 Update  $\partial \Sigma^-$ , if ±si are adjacent in  $\partial \Sigma^-$ , glue the boundary of  $\Sigma^-$  along si. Repeat this step until no adjacent ±si in the boundary.

6 Repeat gluing the copies of D until  $\Sigma^-$  is large enough.







Input mesh

# Fundamental domain

Finite portion of the universal cover

Image :David Gu



Image :David Gu

A curve in the original surface can be lifted to the universal covering space

A curve in the original surface can be lifted to the universal covering space

A closed non-trivial loop can be lifted to an open path in the universal covering space

A curve in the original surface can be lifted to the universal covering space

A closed non-trivial loop can be lifted to an open path in the universal covering space



A curve in the original surface can be lifted to the universal covering space

A closed non-trivial loop can be lifted to an open path in the universal covering space



Key idea : many topological problems can be solved on the universal cover easier than on the original surface.















Curve lifting









1-Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a closed loop in M represented as a list of consecutive half-edges :  $\{[v_1, v_2], ... [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_1]\}$ .

1-Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a closed loop in M represented as a list of consecutive half-edges :  $\{[v_1, v_2], ... [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_1]\}$ .

2-Denote by M to the universal cover of M and by  $\pi : M \to M$  is the projection. Locally this projection is one-to-one.

1-Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a closed loop in M represented as a list of consecutive half-edges :  $\{[v_1, v_2], ... [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_1]\}$ .

2-Denote by M to the universal cover of M and by  $\pi : M \to M$  is the projection. Locally this projection is one-to-one.

3-Locale a pre-image  $\hat{v}_1 \in \tilde{M}$  such that  $\pi(\hat{v}_1) = v_1$ 

1-Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a closed loop in M represented as a list of consecutive half-edges :  $\{[v_1, v_2], ... [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_1]\}$ .

2-Denote by M to the universal cover of M and by  $\pi : M \to M$  is the projection. Locally this projection is one-to-one.

3-Locale a pre-image  $\hat{v}_1 \in M$  such that  $\pi(\hat{v}_1) = v_1$ 

4-Denote the one ring neighborhoods of  $\hat{v}_1$  and  $v_1$  by  $N(\hat{v}_1)$  and  $N(v_1)$  respectively. The map  $\pi: N(\hat{v}_1) \to N(v_1)$  is bijection.

1-Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a closed loop in M represented as a list of consecutive half-edges :  $\{[v_1, v_2], ... [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_1]\}$ .

2-Denote by M to the universal cover of M and by  $\pi : M \to M$  is the projection. Locally this projection is one-to-one.

3-Locale a pre-image  $\hat{v}_1 \in M$  such that  $\pi(\hat{v}_1) = v_1$ 

4-Denote the one ring neighborhoods of  $\hat{v}_1$  and  $v_1$  by  $N(\hat{v}_1)$  and  $N(v_1)$  respectively. The map  $\pi: N(\hat{v}_1) \to N(v_1)$  is bijection.

5- Hence we can uniquely local the pre-image of  $v_2$  in  $N(\hat{v}_1)$ ,  $\hat{v}_2$ .

1-Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a closed loop in M represented as a list of consecutive half-edges :  $\{[v_1, v_2], ... [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_1]\}$ .

2-Denote by M to the universal cover of M and by  $\pi : M \to M$  is the projection. Locally this projection is one-to-one.

3-Locale a pre-image  $\hat{v}_1 \in \tilde{M}$  such that  $\pi(\hat{v}_1) = v_1$ 

4-Denote the one ring neighborhoods of  $\hat{v}_1$  and  $v_1$  by  $N(\hat{v}_1)$  and  $N(v_1)$  respectively. The map  $\pi: N(\hat{v}_1) \to N(v_1)$  is bijection.

5- Hence we can uniquely local the pre-image of  $v_2$  in  $N(\hat{v}_1)$ ,  $\hat{v}_2$ . 6-Then we can uniquely local the pre-image of  $v_3$  in  $N(\hat{v}_2)$ ,  $\hat{v}_3$ .

1-Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a closed loop in M represented as a list of consecutive half-edges :  $\{[v_1, v_2], ... [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_1]\}$ .

2-Denote by M to the universal cover of M and by  $\pi : M \to M$  is the projection. Locally this projection is one-to-one.

3-Locale a pre-image  $\hat{v}_1 \in \tilde{M}$  such that  $\pi(\hat{v}_1) = v_1$ 

4-Denote the one ring neighborhoods of  $\hat{v}_1$  and  $v_1$  by  $N(\hat{v}_1)$  and  $N(v_1)$  respectively. The map  $\pi: N(\hat{v}_1) \to N(v_1)$  is bijection.

5- Hence we can uniquely local the pre-image of  $v_2$  in  $N(\hat{v}_1)$ ,  $\hat{v}_2$ . 6-Then we can uniquely local the pre-image of  $v_3$  in  $N(\hat{v}_2)$ ,  $\hat{v}_3$ . 7-We continue this process step by step.

1-Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a closed loop in M represented as a list of consecutive half-edges :  $\{[v_1, v_2], ... [v_{n-1}, v_n], [v_n, v_1]\}$ .

2-Denote by M to the universal cover of M and by  $\pi : M \to M$  is the projection. Locally this projection is one-to-one.

3-Locale a pre-image  $\hat{v}_1 \in \tilde{M}$  such that  $\pi(\hat{v}_1) = v_1$ 

4-Denote the one ring neighborhoods of  $\hat{v}_1$  and  $v_1$  by  $N(\hat{v}_1)$  and  $N(v_1)$  respectively. The map  $\pi: N(\hat{v}_1) \to N(v_1)$  is bijection.

5- Hence we can uniquely local the pre-image of  $v_2$  in  $N(\hat{v}_1)$ ,  $\hat{v}_2$ . 6 Then we can uniquely local the pre-image of  $v_2$  in  $N(\hat{v}_1)$ ,  $\hat{v}_2$ .

6-Then we can uniquely local the pre-image of  $v_3$  in  $N(\hat{v}_2)$ ,  $\hat{v}_3$ .

7-We continue this process step by step.

8-At the k-th step we can uniquely local the pre-image of  $v_k$  in  $N(\hat{v}_k)$ ,  $\hat{v}_k$ , until we reach  $v_1$  again.



Non-homotopic curves



Homotopic curves

Image :David Gu



Non-homotopic curves



Homotopic curves

Image :David Gu



Homotopically trivial loops are lifted to closed loops in the covering space.



Homotopically non-trivial loops are lifted to open curves in the covering space.

Input : A mesh M, two chains  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ Output: Whether  $\gamma_1$  is homotopic to  $\gamma_2$ 

Input : A mesh M, two chains  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ Output: Whether  $\gamma_1$  is homotopic to  $\gamma_2$ 1- represent  $\gamma_1$  by a circular list of vertices

 $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ 

Input : A mesh M, two chains  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ Output: Whether  $\gamma_1$  is homotopic to  $\gamma_2$ 1- represent  $\gamma_1$  by a circular list of vertices

#### $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$

2- represent  $\gamma_2$  by a circular list of vertices

 $\{w_1,...,w_m\}$ 

Input : A mesh M, two chains  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ Output: Whether  $\gamma_1$  is homotopic to  $\gamma_2$ 1- represent  $\gamma_1$  by a circular list of vertices

#### $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$

2- represent  $\gamma_2$  by a circular list of vertices

#### $\{w_1, ..., w_m\}$

3-use the Dijkstra's algorithm to compute a shortest path connecting  $v_1$  and  $w_1: \gamma = \{v_1, d_1, ..., d_k, w_1\}$ 

Input : A mesh M, two chains  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ Output: Whether  $\gamma_1$  is homotopic to  $\gamma_2$ 1- represent  $\gamma_1$  by a circular list of vertices

#### $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$

2- represent  $\gamma_2$  by a circular list of vertices

 $\{w_1, ..., w_m\}$ 

3-use the Dijkstra's algorithm to compute a shortest path connecting  $v_1$  and  $w_1 : \gamma = \{v_1, d_1, ..., d_k, w_1\}$ 4-Construct  $\Gamma = \gamma_1 \circ \gamma \circ \gamma_2^{-1} \circ \gamma^{-1}$ 

Input : A mesh M, two chains  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ Output: Whether  $\gamma_1$  is homotopic to  $\gamma_2$ 1- represent  $\gamma_1$  by a circular list of vertices

#### $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$

2- represent  $\gamma_2$  by a circular list of vertices

 $\{w_1, ..., w_m\}$ 

3-use the Dijkstra's algorithm to compute a shortest path connecting  $v_1$  and  $w_1 : \gamma = \{v_1, d_1, ..., d_k, w_1\}$ 4-Construct  $\Gamma = \gamma_1 \circ \gamma \circ \gamma_2^{-1} \circ \gamma^{-1}$  $\Gamma = \{v_1, ..., v_n, v_1, d_1, ..., d_k, w_1, w_m, ..., w_1, d_k, ..., d_1, w_1\}$ 

Input : A mesh M, two chains  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ Output: Whether  $\gamma_1$  is homotopic to  $\gamma_2$ 1- represent  $\gamma_1$  by a circular list of vertices

#### $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$

2- represent  $\gamma_2$  by a circular list of vertices

 $\{w_1,...,w_m\}$ 

3-use the Dijkstra's algorithm to compute a shortest path connecting  $v_1$  and  $w_1 : \gamma = \{v_1, d_1, ..., d_k, w_1\}$ 4-Construct  $\Gamma = \gamma_1 \circ \gamma \circ \gamma_2^{-1} \circ \gamma^{-1}$  $\Gamma = \{v_1, ..., v_n, v_1, d_1, ..., d_k, w_1, w_m, ..., w_1, d_k, ..., d_1, w_1\}$ 

5-Construct a finite portion of the universal cover.

Input : A mesh M, two chains  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ Output: Whether  $\gamma_1$  is homotopic to  $\gamma_2$ 1- represent  $\gamma_1$  by a circular list of vertices

#### $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$

2- represent  $\gamma_2$  by a circular list of vertices

 $\{w_1, ..., w_m\}$ 

3-use the Dijkstra's algorithm to compute a shortest path connecting  $v_1$  and  $w_1 : \gamma = \{v_1, d_1, ..., d_k, w_1\}$ 4-Construct  $\Gamma = \gamma_1 \circ \gamma \circ \gamma_2^{-1} \circ \gamma^{-1}$  $\Gamma = \{v_1, ..., v_n, v_1, d_1, ..., d_k, w_1, w_m, ..., w_1, d_k, ..., d_1, w_1\}$ 

5-Construct a finite portion of the universal cover.

6-Left  $\Gamma$  to the universal cover and obtain the curve  $\overline{\Gamma}$ . If  $\overline{\Gamma}$  is a loop then  $\gamma_1$  is homotopic to  $\gamma_2$ . Otherwise, they are not homotopic.



Algorithms presented here can be found in :

*D. Gu and S Yau, Computational conformal geometry*. Somerville, Mass, USA: International Press, 2008.